THE PFIZER INOCULATIONS FOR COVID-19

MORE HARM
THAN GOOD

Canadian Covid Care Alliance
Alliance canadienne pour la prévention
et prise-en-charge de la covid

Contact us
info@canadiancovidcarealliance.org
www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org

WHO WE ARE

Our alliance of **over 500 independent Canadian doctors, scientists, and health care practitioners** is committed to providing quality, balanced, evidence-based information to the Canadian public about COVID-19 so that hospitalizations can be reduced, lives saved, and our country safely restored to normal as quickly as possible.

WE SUPPORT

The doctor/patient relationship and personalized care

Informed consent and treatment options

Free and open **scientific discourse**

Safe & effective vaccines

FIRST, DO NO HARM

The federal, provincial and municipal governments in Canada have a **responsibility to protect the health of Canadians as well as our Charter Rights and Freedoms. Any medical interventions approved by Health Canada must first be PROVEN SAFE.**

Due diligence in research, as well as **adherence to established protocols of the doctor/patient relationship, informed consent and scientific inquiry** are essential to carrying out that responsibility.

Deviating from those practices, causing harm and failing to disclose risks of harm is negligent at best.

OVERVIEW

THE HIERARCHY OF EVIDENCE

- **A randomized control trial is LEVEL 1 Evidence**, the highest form of evidence there is. It is considered the Gold Standard and is the only way to prove something is true.
- **Models are LEVEL 5 or lower** as they are expert opinion/speculation.
- **Policy should be determined by the highest level of evidence available, LEVEL 1.**

Levels of Scientific Evidence



PFIZER'S ORIGINAL TRIAL REPORT DECEMBER 31 2020

- Published in New England Journal of Medicine
- Showed **2 months worth of safety & efficacy data**
- Described starting with 43,548 people divided into:
  1. **Treatment group** (received inoculation)
  2. **Control group** (received saline)
     for 2 months to see who developed COVID-19
- The claim was that the inoculations were safe and showed **95% efficacy 7 days after the 2nd dose**. But that 95% was actually **Relative Risk Reduction. Absolute Risk Reduction** was only **0.84%.**



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

RESEARCH SUMMARY

Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine

F.P. Polack, et al. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2034577

CLINICAL PROBLEM

Safe and effective vaccines to prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and Covid-19 are urgently needed. No vaccines that protect against betacoronaviruses are currently available, and mRNA-based vaccines have not been widely tested.

CLINICAL TRIAL

A randomized, double-blind study of an mRNA vaccine encoding the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein.

43,548 participants ≥16 years old were assigned to receive the vaccine or placebo by intramuscular injection on day 0 and day 21. Participants were followed for safety and for the development of symptomatic Covid-19 for a median of 2 months.

RESULTS

Safety:
Vaccine recipients had local reactions (pain, erythema, swelling) and systemic reactions (e.g., fever, headache, myalgias) at higher rates than placebo recipients, with more reactions following the second dose. Most were mild to moderate and resolved rapidly.

Efficacy:
The vaccine showed protection 7 days after the second dose; 95% efficacy was observed.

LIMITATIONS AND REMAINING QUESTIONS

Further study is required to understand the following:

- Safety and efficacy beyond 2 months and in groups not included in this trial (e.g., children, pregnant women, and immunocompromised persons).
- Whether the vaccine protects against asymptomatic infection and transmission to unvaccinated persons.
- How to deal with those who miss the second vaccine dose.

Links: Full article | Quick Take | Editorial

Vaccine efficacy of 95% (95% credible interval, 90.3 –97.6%)

CONCLUSIONS

Two doses of an mRNA-based vaccine were safe over a median of two months and provided 95% protection against symptomatic Covid-19 in persons 16 years of age or older.

ABSOLUTE RISK REDUCTION VS RELATIVE RISK REDUCTION



https://rumble.com/vobcg5-relative-vs-absolute-risk-reduction.html

EARLY UNBLINDING OF RANDOMIZED CONTROL TRIAL = NO LONG TERM SAFETY DATA

WHAT WAS SUPPOSED TO HAPPEN



WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED



PFIZER'S 6 MONTH REPORT DATA
LEVEL 1 EVIDENCE OF HARM

- Pfizer's most recent report indicates an **Efficacy of 91.3%**. (Which means **a reduction in positive cases** compared to placebo group.)
- **But it also showed**, compared to the placebo group, **an increase in illness and deaths.**
- There is **no benefit to a reduction in cases** if it comes at the cost of **increased sickness and death.**

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months

S.J. Thomas, E.D. Moreira, Jr., N. Kitchin, J. Absalon, A. Gurtman, S. Lockhart, J.L. Perez, G. Pérez Marc, F.P. Polack, C. Zerbini, R. Bailey, K.A. Swanson, X. Xu, S. Roychoudhury, K. Koury, S. Bouguermouh, W.V. Kalina, D. Cooper, R.W. Frenck, Jr., L.L. Hammitt, Ö. Türeci, H. Nell, A. Schaefer, S. Ünal, Q. Yang, P. Liberator, D.B. Tresnan, S. Mather, P.R. Dormitzer, U. Şahin, W.C. Gruber, and K.U. Jansen, for the C4591001 Clinical Trial Group*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

BNT162b2 is a lipid nanoparticle–formulated, nucleoside-modified RNA vaccine encoding a prefusion-stabilized, membrane-anchored severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) full-length spike protein. BNT162b2 is highly efficacious against coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) and is currently approved, conditionally approved, or authorized for emergency use worldwide. At the time of initial authorization, data beyond 2 months after vaccination were unavailable.

METHODS

In an ongoing, placebo-controlled, observer-blinded, multinational, pivotal efficacy trial, we randomly assigned 44,165 participants 16 years of age or older and 2264 participants 12 to 15 years of age to receive two 30-μg doses, at 21 days apart, of BNT162b2 or placebo. The trial end points were vaccine efficacy against laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 and safety, which were both evaluated through 6 months after vaccination.

RESULTS

BNT162b2 continued to be safe and have an acceptable adverse-event profile. Few participants had adverse events leading to withdrawal from the trial. Vaccine efficacy against Covid-19 was 91.3% (95% confidence interval [CI], 89.0 to 93.2) through 6 months of follow-up among the participants without evidence of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection who could be evaluated. There was a gradual decline in vaccine efficacy. Vaccine efficacy of 86 to 100% was seen across countries and in populations with diverse ages, sexes, race or ethnic groups, and risk factors for Covid-19 among participants without evidence of previous infection with SARS-CoV-2. Vaccine efficacy against severe disease was 96.7% (95% CI, 80.3 to 99.9). In South Africa, where the SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern B.1.351 (or beta) was predominant, a vaccine efficacy of 100% (95% CI, 53.5 to 100) was observed.

CONCLUSIONS

Through 6 months of follow-up and despite a gradual decline in vaccine efficacy, BNT162b2 had a favorable safety profile and was highly efficacious in preventing Covid-19. (Funded by BioNTech and Pfizer; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT04368728.)

The authors' full names, academic degrees, and affiliations are listed in the Appendix. Dr. Dormitzer can be contacted at philip.dormitzer@pfizer.com or at Pfizer, 401 N. Middletown Rd., Pearl River, NY 10965.

*A list of the investigators in the C4591001 Clinical Trial Group is provided in the Supplementary Appendix, available at NEJM.org.

This article was published on September 15, 2021, at NEJM.org.

N Engl J Med 2021;385:1761-73.
DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2110345
Copyright © 2021 Massachusetts Medical Society.

CME at NEJM.org

N ENGL J MED 385;19 NEJM.ORG NOVEMBER 4, 2021

1761

https://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa2110345?articleTools=true

INCREASED RISK OF ILLNESS

Screen capture from Pfizer 6 Month Supplementary Appendix

Adverse Event	BNT162b2 (N*=21,926) n[b] (%)	Placebo (N*=21,921) n[b] (%)
Any event	6617 (30.2)	3048 (13.9)
Related[c]	5241 (23.9)	1311 (6.0)
Severe	262 (1.2)	150 (0.7)
Life-threatening	21 (0.1)	26 (0.1)
Any serious adverse event	127 (0.6)	116 (0.5)
Related[c,d]	3 (0.0)	0
Severe	71 (0.3)	66 (0.3)
Life-threatening	21 (0.1)	26 (0.1)
Any adverse event leading to withdrawal	32 (0.1)	36 (0.2)
Related[c]	13 (0.1)	11 (0.1)
Severe	10 (0.0)	10 (0.0)
Life-threatening	3 (0.0)	7 (0.0)
Death	3 (0.0)	5 (0.0)

Table S3 | Participants Reporting at Least 1 Adverse Event from Dose 1 to 1 Month After Dose 2 During the Blinded Follow-up Period. The population included all ≥16-year-old participants who received ≥1 dose of vaccine irrespective of follow-up time. a. N=number of participants in the specified group. This value is the denominator for the percentage calculations. b. n=Number of participants reporting ≥1 occurrence of the specified event category. For 'any event', n=number of participants reporting ≥1 occurrence of any event. c. Assessed by the investigator as related to investigational product. d. Shoulder injury related to vaccine administration, right axillary lymphadenopathy, and paroxysmal ventricular arrhythmia (as previously reported). Adverse events for 12–15-year-old participants were reported previously.[11]

Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months - Supplementary Appendix

*A **significant increase in illness,** which the Pfizer inoculations were supposed to reduce.*

	BNT162b2	Placebo	Risk Change
Efficacy (Meaning number of people diagnosed with COVID-19.)	77	850	**-91%**
Related Adverse Event (Meaning an investigator has assessed it as related to the BNT162b2 injection.)	5,241	1,311	**+300%**
Any Severe Adverse Event (Interferes significantly with normal function.)	262	150	**+75%**
Any Serious Adverse Event (Involves visit to ER or hospitalization.)	127	116	**+10%**

INCREASED RISK OF DEATH

Screen capture from Pfizer 6 Month Supplementary Appendix

Reported Cause of Death*	BNT162b2 (N=21,926) n	Placebo (N=21,921) n
Deaths	15	14
Acute respiratory failure	0	1
Aortic rupture	0	1
Arteriosclerosis	2	0
Biliary cancer metastatic	0	1
COVID-19	0	2
COVID-19 pneumonia	1	0
Cardiac arrest	4	1
Cardiac failure congestive	1	0
Cardiorespiratory arrest	1	1
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1	0
Death	0	1
Dementia	0	1
Emphysematous cholecystitis	1	0
Hemorrhagic stroke	0	1
Hypertensive heart disease	1	0
Lung cancer metastatic	1	0
Metastases to liver	0	1
Missing	0	1
Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome	0	2
Myocardial infarction	0	2
Overdose	0	1
Pneumonia	0	2
Sepsis	1	0
Septic shock	1	0
Shigella sepsis	1	0
Unevaluable event	1	0

Table S4 | Causes of Death from Dose 1 to Unblinding (Safety Population, ≥16 Years Old). a. Multiple causes of death could be reported for each participant. There were no deaths among 12–15-year-old participants.

Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months - Supplementary Appendix

	BNT162b2	Placebo
Deaths before unblinding (In Table S4 of Supplementary Appendix)	15	14
Deaths after unblinding (Not in table, but mentioned in text of 6 month report. See quote below.)	5	
Total Deaths	**20**	**14**

"After unblinding" means when the Placebo participants were given the opportunity to "cross over" and take the BNT162b2 inoculation.*

> ***"...3 participants in the BNT162b2 group and 2 in the original placebo group who received BNT162b2 after unblinding died."***
> Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months

Concerning Causes of Death

	BNT162b2	Placebo
Total COVID-19 Related Deaths	1	2
Deaths Related to Cardiovascular Events	9	5

*A total of 19,525 subjects originally randomized to placebo received at least one dose of BNT162b2 after unblinding (Dose 3 and Dose 4) and before the March 13, 2021 data cutoff.

THE PFIZER TRIALS

WHAT WENT WRONG

PFIZER DID NOT FOLLOW ESTABLISHED PROTOCOLS

Regarding the persistent claim that the COVID-19 inoculation products do not need to be tested, because mRNA technology has already undergone testing: mRNA technology is the delivery mechanism, not the inoculation. That's like saying that since we've used syringes safely before, anything injected via syringe is safe. (And in fact, there are still a lot of unknowns about the effects of the mRNA delivery mechanism.)

NORMALLY, VACCINE DEVELOPMENT LOOKS LIKE THIS, WITH A TIMELINE OF 5 TO 10 YEARS.

RARELY, IT CAN BE DONE IN AS LITTLE AS 5 YEARS.

FOR THE COVID-19 INOCULATIONS, IT WAS DONE IN 1 YEAR.

- Animal testing was skipped
- Phases II/III were combined
- After 2 months of Phase II/III, Emergency Use Authorized
- The trials were unblinded
- Phase III trials are ongoing until 2023

MISLEADING DEMOGRAPHICS
WRONG AGE FOR TARGET POPULATION

When designing a trial for the efficacy and safety of a potential treatment, **the focus should be on the target population who could most benefit from that treatment.** Instead Pfizer chose participants from younger demographic that would be a) less likely to need a vaccine, b) less likely to suffer an adverse event during a trial, c) more likely to respond well to a vaccine, as the elderly have comparatively poor immune responses.



COVID-19 Deaths per capita by age in the United States (as of Jun 5, 2021). Population-based on U.S. CDC WONDER Bridge-Race Population Estimate 2019. Data obtained from https://wonder.cdc.gov/bridged-race-v2019.html

Pfizer Trial Demographics

Demographics (population for the primary efficacy endpoint). The number of participants who received vaccine and placebo, stratified by age.

AGE GROUP	Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine (N = 18,242) n (%)	Placebo (N = 18,379) n (%)
≥12 through 15 years[b]	46 (0.3 %)	42 (0.2 %)
≥16 through 17 years	66 (0.4 %)	68 (0.4 %)
≥16 through 64 years	14,216 (77.9 %)	14,299 (77.8 %)
≥65 through 74 years	3176 (17.4 %)	3226 (17.6 %)
≥75 years	804 (4.4 %)	812 (4.4 %)

Yet 75+ year olds represent only 4% of trial subjects.

FACT SHEET FOR HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS ADMINISTERING VACCINE (VACCINATION PROVIDERS) EMERGENCY USE AUTHORIZATION (EUA) OF THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE TO PREVENT CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19) https://labeling.pfizer.com/ShowLabeling.aspx?id=14471

MISLEADING DEMOGRAPHICS TESTED ON HEALTHY, GIVEN TO SICK



Pfizer Trial Protocols - Exclusions

REAL WORLD CO-MORBIDITIES

95% of people who have died with COVID-19 have had **at least 1 co-morbidity** listed as cause of death. The **average is 4 co-morbidities**.

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid_weekly/index.htm?fbclid=IwAR3-wrq3ITKK5-9iOHPGAHWFVO3D5IkJ0KsDEPQpWmPbKtp6EsoVV2Qs1Q#Comorbidities

PFIZER TRIAL CO-CONDITIONS

Only **21% had a co-existing condition.**

https://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577?articleTools=true

IMPLICATIONS FOR ROLL OUT

- We are told the inoculations are "safe." Yet **many health conditions** - in fact a list several pages long - **were excluded from the trials**, including pregnant or breastfeeding women, people with allergies, with psychiatric conditions, immunocompromised people, people with bleeding disorders, people who had previously tested positive for COVID-19, people who had been prescribed steroids, etc., so there has never been any data to make safety claims about those people. Yet **they are also not excluded from mandates and vaccine passports.**
- The vaccines were **tested on the healthy**, and then immediately **given to the frailest members of the society** - the elderly with multiple health conditions. This is unscientific and unethical.

INADEQUATE CONTROL GROUPS

Pfizer only observed 2 groups:

- **UNEXPOSED** & **INOCULATED**
- **UNEXPOSED** & **NOT INOCULATED**

They should have included two more groups:

- **EXPOSED** & **INOCULATED**, people who had recovered, then got the inoculation, to see if the inoculation was safe for them
- **EXPOSED** & **NOT INOCULATED** people who were recovered and not inoculated to see how the inoculations stacked up against natural immunity



LOW QUALITY SAFETY SCIENCE DIDN'T TRACK BIOMARKERS

As Kostoff *et al*. highlighted in a recent paper, "Why are we vaccinating children against COVID-19?" (highly recommended), that while the Pfizer trials tested for antibodies and tracked adverse events in terms of symptoms, **they didn't test for adverse events at the subclinical (pre-symptom) level.**

This was extremely unsafe, because **symptoms/diseases are typically end points of processes** that can take months, years, or decades to surface. By the time you get to symptoms, things can have gone pretty wrong. (Think diabetes or high blood pressure, where the disease can be quite advanced before any symptoms occur.) **Pfizer should have been tracking biomarkers that would have been early warning indicators for disease caused by the inoculations.**

High quality safety science would have meant they should have tested before & after inoculation for:

- d-dimers for evidence of enhanced **coagulation/clotting** *(several of our doctors have noticed increased levels of d-dimers in inoculated patients presenting with stroke like symptoms - video available **here**)*
- C-reactive protein for evidence of enhanced **inflammation**
- troponins for evidence of **cardiac damage**
- occludin and claudin for evidence of enhanced **barrier permeability**
- blood oxygen levels for evidence of enhanced **hypoxia**
- amyloid-beta and phosphorylated tau for evidence of increased **predisposition to Alzheimer's** disease
- Serum HMGB1, CXCL13, Dickkopf-1 for evidence of an **increased disposition to autoimmune disease**, etc.

WRONG CLINICAL ENDPOINTS
SHOULD HAVE FOCUSED ON ALL CAUSE MORTALITY & ILLNESS

The fear with COVID-19, was that it was going to **a) kill people, b) make them sick.**

So any COVID-19 vaccine clinical trial should set out to ask the question **"Do people who take the vaccines have less illness and death than those who don't?"**

Illness + Death should be the CLINICAL ENDPOINTS. And not just illness + death with COVID-19, but **any and all illness and death,** in order to make sure that the vaccines are not causing harm.

This is well known. It was learned decades ago with cancer drug trials. At first, they used a clinical endpoint of "Did the drug shrink the cancer?" If it did, they called it effective. **But it turned out the drugs were not only killing cancer, they were killing patients.** They were forced to change the design of their trials and switch to "all cause mortality" as the primary endpoint instead and show that people receiving the drug actually live longer than those who don't. (J.Bart Classen has written an excellent research article on the subject. Read **here**.)



NOT TESTED FOR SPREAD REDUCTION
VACCINE PASSPORTS UNJUSTIFIED

Although vaccine passports are now being used to ostensibly prevent or reduce transmission of COVID-19, this outcome was never studied in the trial and it is inappropriate to assign that capability to these inoculations. **There is no evidence at all that they reduce the spread of disease and transmission was never one of the study's endpoints.**



LIMITATIONS AND REMAINING QUESTIONS

Further study is required to understand the following:

- Safety and efficacy beyond 2 months and in groups not included in this trial (e.g., children, pregnant women, and immunocompromised persons).
- Whether the vaccine protects against asymptomatic infection and transmission to unvaccinated persons.
- How to deal with those who miss the second vaccine dose.

TESTING FAILURES
SUBJECTIVE TESTING

The Pfizer trials DID NOT test all participants for COVID-19. Instead, they instructed their investigators to test only those with a COVID-19 symptom and **left it up to their discretion** to decide what those were.

This means that:

- **Asymptomatic infection would be missed entirely**
- A high level of **subjectivity was introduced to the study - an investigator had the ability to sway the results**
- The lack of objective systematic testing **makes results unreliable**

All participants should have been tested.

MISSING DATA

- LOST TO FOLLOW UP
- SUSPECTED, BUT UNCONFIRMED

	INOCULATED GROUP	PLACEBO GROUP
ENDPOINT DATA - Confirmed COVID Cases	8	162
Participants Lost to Follow Up	80	86
Suspected, but Unconfirmed Cases	1,594	1,816

The basis for the Emergency Use Authorization was the Confirmed COVID cases of 8 vs 162, which meant a Relative Risk Reduction of 95%. But **when dealing with such a small number of cases, any change can impact the results significantly.**

Lost to follow up means **they lost touch with those subjects** and can't confirm whether they got sick or not. They don't know.

Suspected, but unconfirmed means these people were **symptomatic for COVID-19,** but were **never tested**. (Discretion for testing was left up to the investigator.)

The fact that the Lost to Follow Up and Suspected but Unconfirmed numbers are higher - and here they are even significantly higher - than the End Point numbers means that **this data is unreliable. The study should not have been accepted in this state.** In normal scientific practice they should have returned to investigate further.

Confirmed Cases

Dec 31 2020 Report

Table 3. Vaccine Efficacy Overall and by Subgroup in Participants without Evidence of Infection before 7 Days after Dose 2.

Efficacy End-Point Subgroup	BNT162b2 (N=18,198)		Placebo (N=18,325)		Vaccine Efficacy, % (95% CI)†
	No. of Cases	Surveillance Time (No. at Risk)*	No. of Cases	Surveillance Time (No. at Risk)*	
Overall	8	2.214 (17,411)	162	2.222 (17,511)	95.0 (90.0–97.9)

Lost to Follow Up

Dec 31 2020 Report



Suspected but Unconfirmed

Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee Meeting December 10, 2020

FDA Briefing Document Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine

Among 3410 total cases of suspected but unconfirmed COVID-19 in the overall study population, 1594 occurred in the vaccine group vs. 1816 in the placebo group. Suspected COVID-19 cases that occurred within 7 days after any vaccination were 409 in the vaccine group vs. 287 in the placebo group. It is possible that the imbalance in suspected COVID-19 cases occurring in the 7 days postvaccination represents vaccine reactogenicity with symptoms that overlap with those of COVID-19. Overall though, these data do not raise a concern that protocol-specified reporting of suspected, but unconfirmed COVID-19 cases could have masked clinically significant adverse events that would not have otherwise been detected.

FAILURE TO TEST
WHY IT MATTERS



12-15 ADOLESCENT TRIAL
ALL RISK, NO BENEFIT

- This study was severely underpowered, as **a study this small will not show up risk**.
  - Inoculated group - **1,005** (**0** tested positive for COVID-19)
  - Placebo group - **978** (**18** tested positive for COVID-19)

- Pfizer claimed these were great results, but since adolescents are at statistically 0% risk of death from COVID-19, and very low risk of severe illness, **the inoculation is of little benefit to them.** Instead, it presents a very real risk of adverse events.

- But the adolescent Pfizer study wasn't actually designed to find those. **A serious adverse event**, including death, that occurred at a 1/800 rate **might not even show up in a sample of 1,005** people.

- But in this case, it did. **Among the 1,005 adolescents, there WAS at least one serious adverse event - Maddie de Garay.**

"For children without a serious medical condition, the danger of severe Covid is so low as to be difficult to quantify."
-COVID AND AGE, Oct 12, 2021, New York Times

12 -15 ADOLESCENT TRIAL FAILURE TO REPORT SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENTS

Maddie de Garay is a 12 year old trial participant who developed a serious reaction after her second dose and was hospitalized within 24 hours.

Maddie developed gastroparesis, nausea and vomiting, erratic blood pressure, memory loss, brain fog, headaches, dizziness, fainting, seizures, verbal and motor tics, menstrual cycle issues, lost feeling from the waist down, lost bowel and bladder control and had an nasogastric tube placed because she lost her ability to eat. She has been hospitalized many times, and for the past **10 months she has been wheelchair bound and fed via tube.**

In their report to the FDA, **Pfizer described her injuries as "functional abdominal pain."**

- One participant experienced an SAE reported as generalized neuralgia, and also reported 3 concurrent non-serious AEs (abdominal pain, abscess, gastritis) and 1 concurrent SAE (constipation) within the same week. The participant was eventually diagnosed with functional abdominal pain. The event was reported as ongoing at the time of the cutoff date.

Emergency Use Authorization Amendment

5 - 11 YEAR OLDS RISKING THEIR HEALTH

Re: the 5 to 11 year old cohort

In this table, **Pfizer, using predictive modelling acknowledges that their inoculations WILL cause myocarditis**, but optimistically **claims there will be zero deaths** from myocarditis in any of their modelled (speculation, level 5 evidence) scenarios.

But **even if it were true,** there is no justification for causing harm to children this way. **FIRST, DO NO HARM.**

There is now such a high expectation of heart problems from the inoculations among children that **Sick Kids is putting out brochures on how to deal with them.**

FDA BRIEFING DOCUMENT
EUA AMENDMENT REQUEST FOR PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE
FOR USE IN CHILDREN 5 THROUGH 11 YEARS OF AGE

Table 14. Model-Predicted Benefit-Risk Outcomes of Scenarios 1-6 per One Million Fully Vaccinated Children 5-11 Years Old

	Benefits				Risks			
Sex	**Prevented COVID-19 Cases**	**Prevented COVID-19 Hospitalizations**	**Prevented COVID-19 ICU Admissions**	**Prevented COVID-19 Deaths**	**Excess Myocarditis Cases**	**Excess Myocarditis Hospitalizations**	**Excess Myocarditis ICU Admissions**	**Excess Myocarditis Deaths**
Males & Females								
Scenario 1	45,773	192	62	1	106	58	34	0
Scenario 2	54,345	250	80	1	106	58	34	0
Scenario 3	2,639	21	7	0	106	58	34	0
Scenario 4	58,851	241	77	1	106	58	34	0
Scenario 5	45,773	192	62	3	106	58	34	0
Scenario 6	45,773	192	62	1	53	29	17	0
Males only								
Scenario 1	44,790	203	67	1	179	98	57	0
Scenario 2	54,345	250	82	1	179	98	57	0
Scenario 3	2,639	21	7	0	179	98	57	0
Scenario 4	57,857	254	83	1	179	98	57	0
Scenario 5	44,790	203	67	3	179	98	57	0
Scenario 6	44,790	203	67	1	89	49	29	0
Females only								
Scenario 1	45,063	172	54	1	32	18	10	0
Scenario 2	54,345	250	78	2	32	18	10	0
Scenario 3	2,639	21	7	0	32	18	10	0
Scenario 4	57,938	215	67	2	32	18	10	0
Scenario 5	45,063	172	54	4	32	18	10	0
Scenario 6	45,063	172	54	1	16	9	5	0

Scenario 1: COVID-19 incidence as of September 11, 2021, VE 70% vs. COVID-19 cases and 80% vs. COVID-19 hospitalization.
Scenario 2: COVID-19 incidence at peak of U.S. Delta variant surge at end of August 2021, VE 70% vs. COVID-19 cases and 80% vs. COVID-19 hospitalization.
Scenario 3: COVID-19 incidence as of na
Scenario 4: COVID-19 incidence as of Se
Scenario 5: COVID-19 case incidence as
hospitalization, COVID-19 death rate 300%
Scenario 6: COVID-19 incidence as of Se
less myocarditis cases 50% of Scenario

Low Level (Level 5 Evidence)
SPECULATION - A Predictive Model

MYOCARDITIS IS SERIOUS

MYOCARDITIS

"Myocarditis is an inflammatory process of the myocardium. (Heart muscle.) **Severe myocarditis weakens your heart** so that the rest of your body doesn't get enough blood. Clots can form in your heart, **leading to a stroke or heart attack."**

THE US NATIONAL CENTRE FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY INFORMATION

"The mortality rate is up to 20% at 6.5 years."

https://jcmr-online.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1532-429X-13-S1-M7



THE FDA ABANDONS FIRST, DO NO HARM

Medical interventions are supposed to be **PROVEN SAFE BEFORE** the are rolled out in the population.

Yet **Dr. Eric Rubin**, one of the 18 members of the **FDA advisory panel** who voted, to approve the inoculations for children 5 - 11, actually said the opposite, and suggested that **a population level roll out was an appropriate way to test for adverse events.**

It's worth noting that Dr. Eric Rubin is the **editor-in-chief of the New England Journal of Medicine, which publishes the Pfizer trial reports.**



"We're never going to learn about how safe this vaccine is unless we start giving it. That's just the way it goes. That's how we found out about rare complications of other vaccines like the rotavirus vaccine. And I do think we should vote to approve it."

Dr. Eric Rubin, FDA advisory panel member,
Harvard professor & editor-in-chief of the New England Journal of Medicine
Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee – 10/26/2021

5 - 11 YEAR OLDS
NO INFORMED CONSENT

- **Direct-to-consumer advertising of prescription drugs is illegal in Canada,** yet politicians from all levels of government are marketing inoculations to children, using cartoons and mascots.

- **They are proclaiming the inoculations to be safe, yet the data is not there to back that up.** In addition to admitting that their inoculations can cause myocarditis, Pfizer also admits, right in their report, that **their long term immune response, efficacy & safety data is limited and that their studies weren't powered to find "rare" side effects** as only1,517 kids got the inoculation.

- How many parents would take their kids to get this shot if they were informed of this? **The law of informed consent says they should be, but it's not happening.**



of a Covid-19 vaccine in this population; trials of other vaccines are under way. Limitations of the study include the lack of longer-term follow-up to assess the duration of immune responses, efficacy, and safety. However, longer-term follow-up from this study, which will continue for 2 years, should provide clarification. This study was also not powered to detect potential rare side effects of BNT162b2 in 5-to-11-year-olds. However, the safety of BNT162b2 observed in the study com-

https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2116298

THE BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL PUBLISHES WHISTLEBLOWER STORY

On November 2nd, the British Medical Journal released an article about their investigation into Ventavia, one of the research companies Pfizer hired to conduct the trials.

It's quite damning. **The whistleblower is a Regional Director** who actually reported her company to the FDA for:

- **Falsifying data**
- **Unblinding participants**
- **Not following up and testing participants who reported symptoms**
- **Mislabelling specimens**

Several other employees backed up her account. Despite all this, **neither Pfizer, nor the FDA ever audited or investigated** the research company, Pfizer never disclosed the problems in its EUA application, and in fact, Pfizer has now hired that same Researcher, Ventavia, to run four more COVID-19 clinical trials.

FEATURE

Check for updates

Madrid, Spain
Cite this as: *BMJ* 2021;375:n2635
http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n2635
Published 2 November 2021

BMJ INVESTIGATION

Covid-19: Researcher blows the whistle on data integrity issues in Pfizer's vaccine trial

Revelations of poor practices at a contract research company helping to carry out Pfizer's pivotal covid-19 vaccine trial raise questions about data integrity and regulatory oversight. Paul D Thacker reports

Paul D Thacker *investigative journalist*

In autumn 2020 Pfizer's chairman and chief executive, Albert Bourla, released an open letter to the billions of people around the world who were investing their hopes in a safe and effective covid-19 vaccine to end the pandemic. "As I've said before, we are operating at the speed of science," Bourla wrote, explaining to the public when they could expect a Pfizer vaccine to be authorised in the United States.[1]

But, for researchers who were testing Pfizer's vaccine at several sites in Texas during that autumn, speed may have come at the cost of data integrity and patient safety. A regional director who was employed at the research organisation Ventavia Research Group has told *The BMJ* that the company falsified data, unblinded patients, employed inadequately trained vaccinators, and was slow to follow up on adverse events reported in Pfizer's pivotal phase III trial. Staff who conducted quality control checks were overwhelmed by the volume of problems they were finding. After repeatedly notifying Ventavia of these problems, the regional director, Brook Jackson, emailed a complaint to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Ventavia fired her later the same day. Jackson has provided *The BMJ* with dozens of internal company documents, photos, audio [illegible] and emails.

executives later questioned Jackson for taking the photos.

Early and inadvertent unblinding may have occurred on a far wider scale. According to the trial's design, unblinded staff were responsible for preparing and administering the study drug (Pfizer's vaccine or a placebo). This was to be done to preserve the blinding of trial participants and all other site staff, including the principal investigator. However, at Ventavia, Jackson told *The BMJ* that drug assignment confirmation printouts were being left in participants' charts, accessible to blinded personnel. As a corrective action taken in September, two months into trial recruitment and with around 1000 participants already enrolled, quality assurance checklists were updated with instructions for staff to remove drug assignments from charts.

In a recording of a meeting in late September 2020 between Jackson and two directors a Ventavia executive can be heard explaining that the company wasn't able to quantify the types and number of errors they were finding when examining the trial paperwork for quality control. "In my mind, it's something new every day," a Ventavia executive says. "We know that it's significant."

Ventavia was not keeping up with data entry queries, [illegible] sent by ICON, the contract research

BMJ: first published as 10.1136/bmj.n2635

A CRITICAL EYE BACK ON

THE SEP 15 2021 REPORT



THE NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months

S.J. Thomas, E.D. Moreira, Jr., N. Kitchin, J. Absalon, A. Gurtman, S. Lockhart, J.L. Perez, G. Pérez Marc, F.P. Polack, C. Zerbini, R. Bailey, K.A. Swanson, X. Xu, S. Roychoudhury, K. Koury, S. Bouguermouh, W.V. Kalina, D. Cooper, R.W. Frenck, Jr., L.L. Hammitt, Ö. Türeci, H. Nell, A. Schaefer, S. Ünal, Q. Yang, P. Liberator, D.B. Tresnan, S. Mather, P.R. Dormitzer, U. Şahin, W.C. Gruber, and K.U. Jansen, for the C4591001 Clinical Trial Group*

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

BNT162b2 is a lipid nanoparticle–formulated, nucleoside-modified RNA vaccine encoding a perfusion-stabilized, membrane-anchored severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) full-length spike protein. BNT162b2 is highly efficacious against coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) and is currently approved conditionally approved, or authorized for emergency use worldwide. At the time of initial authorization, data beyond 2 months after vaccination were unavailable.

METHODS

In an ongoing, placebo-controlled, observer-blinded, multinational, pivotal efficacy trial, we randomly assigned 44,165 participants 16 years of age or older and 2264 participants 12 to 15 years of age to receive two 30-μg doses, at 21 days apart, of BNT162b2 or placebo. The trial end points were vaccine efficacy against laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 and safety, which were both evaluated through 6 months after vaccination.

RESULTS

BNT162b2 continued to be safe and have an acceptable adverse-event profile. Few participants had adverse events leading to withdrawal from the trial. Vaccine efficacy against Covid-19 was 91.3% (95% confidence interval [CI], 89.0 to 93.2) through 6 months of follow-up among the participants without evidence of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection who could be evaluated. There was a gradual decline in vaccine efficacy. Vaccine efficacy of 86 to 100% was seen across countries and in populations with diverse ages, sexes, race or ethnic groups, and risk factors for Covid-19 among participants without evidence of previous infection with SARS-CoV-2. Vaccine efficacy against severe disease was 96.7% (95% CI, 80.3 to 99.9). In South Africa, where the SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern B.1.351 (or beta) was predominant, a vaccine efficacy of 100% (95% CI, 53.5 to 100) was observed.

CONCLUSIONS

Through 6 months of follow-up and despite a gradual decline in vaccine efficacy, BNT162b2 had a favorable safety profile and was highly efficacious in preventing Covid-19. (Funded by BioNTech and Pfizer; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT04368728.)

N ENGL J MED 385;13 NEJM.ORG NO STEMBER 23, 2021

The New England Journal of Medicine
Downloaded from nejm.org on November 10, 2021. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.
Copyright © 2021 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

6 MONTH DATA MANIPULATION
MIXED COHORTS

Pfizer took the results from their adult trial, which started July 27, 2020, and then added the results from the 12 - 15 year olds' trial, **despite the fact that the adolescent trial started four months later.**

Since it's well known that the efficacy of the inoculations wanes over time, **this gives a false boost to the efficacy numbers.** The efficacy for these two cohorts should have been reported separately, not presented as one combined result. Without this boost, their efficacy number would likely have fallen.

Jul 27
Adult Trial (16+) Begins

Dec
Adolescent Trial (12 - 15) Begins

Mar 13
Data Cutoff Date for Efficacy Reported in 6 Month Study

2020						2021		
JULY	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR

PFIZER TRIALS DID NOT PROVE SAFETY
THEY PROVED HARM

ILLNESS

	BNT162b2	Placebo	Risk Change
Efficacy (Meaning number of people diagnosed with COVID-19.)	77	850	**-91%**
Related Adverse Event (Meaning an investigator has assessed it as related to the BNT162b2 injection.)	5,241	1,311	**+300%**
Any Severe Adverse Event (Interferes significantly with normal function.)	262	150	**+75%**
Any Serious Adverse Event (Involves visit to ER or hospitalization.)	127	116	**+10%**

DEATHS

BNT162b2	Placebo
20	14

These are the results of Pfizer's own randomized control trial.

LEVEL 1 EVIDENCE OF HARM.

HOW THIS IS PLAYING OUT IN THE REAL WORLD

ROLL OUT SURVEILLANCE YOU DON'T FIND WHAT YOU DON'T LOOK FOR

There is a dramatic difference between passive vs active monitoring of adverse events

1. When participants were **actively** followed for adverse events (AEs) in the trials, high percentages of adverse events were reported.
2. Once the vaccine was rolled out at the population level, **passive** surveillance was used with Health Canada, VAERS or the European Yellow Card system.

When that happened, the **signal was completely lost**.



RISING INCIDENTS OF HEART ISSUES IN YOUNG PEOPLE

Ontario Public Health is well aware of this, as they published a report on it, but they seem inconsistent in their concerns.

- On Sep 29, 2021, Ontario Public Health recommended **young men 18-24** not take the **Moderna shot**, because of a **1 in 5,000 risk of myocarditis.** They suggested **Pfizer shot** instead, which has a **1 in 28,000 risk of myocarditis.**
- But as recently as May 8, 2021, **Ontario had stopped the Astra Zeneca shot because of a 1 in 60,000 risk of clotting side effects**, which was considered too high.
- **Their priorities are inconsistent.**



Public Health Ontario | Santé publique Ontario

ENHANCED EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SUMMARY

Myocarditis and Pericarditis Following Vaccination with COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines in Ontario: December 13, 2020 to September 4, 2021

Purpose

This report summarizes reports of myocarditis/pericarditis that have been reported as adverse events ... in Ontario following the receipt of a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. Data on ... pericarditis following COVID-19 mRNA vaccines are

TORONTO SUN

Ontario

More than 100 Ontario youth sent to hospital for vaccine-related heart problems: Report

There were 54 persons aged 25-39 included in the tally and 44 persons aged 40 and over

Anthony Furey
Sep 03, 2021 • September 3, 2021 • 2 minute read • 314 Comments

oderna coronavirus disease (COVID-19) vaccine labels are seen arch 19, 2021. PHOTO BY DADO RUVIC /REUTERS

THIS IS NOT NORMAL

Health News , Vaccine Injury Stories , Vaccines

Grieving Father Ernest Ramirez Shares Heartbreaking Story of His Teen Son's Death 5 Days After Pfizer Vaccine





A German news site put together a list of over **75 known cases of athletes collapsing - and even dying - in the last 5 months.**

https://report24.news/ab-13-jahren-lange-liste-ploetzlich-verstorbener-oder-schwerkranker-sportler/

An Israeli news site analyzed the number of sudden deaths "on the pitch" of members of the International Football Association (FIFA) over the past 20 years.

The average number of FIFA sudden deaths between 2000 - 2020 was 4.2. In 2021, it was 21.



https://www.rtnews.co.il/?view=article&id=49&catid=22

THIS IS SUPPOSED TO BE RARE

https://rumble.com/vpnxkr-are-these-side-effects-extremely-rare.html

PFIZER'S POST MARKETING PHARMACOVIGILANCE REPORT

- On Nov 17, 2021, the FDA released the first batch of what will ultimately be **329,000 pages** they were ordered by a court to provide to satisfy a Freedom of Information request by a group called Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency who want access to the **data used by the FDA to approve Pfizer's COVID-19 inoculations**. (The FDA asked in court to have over 50 years to release the documents.)

- One **post marketing pharmacovigilance report** submitted to the FDA, where Pfizer tracked real world adverse events occurring in the first 2.5 months after Emergency Use Authorization, was particularly disturbing.
  - **Over 1,200 deaths**
  - **Over 25,000 nervous system adverse events**
  - Under "Safety concerns" Pfizer listed **Anaphylaxis** and **Vaccine-Associated Enhanced Disease**

- **This document should be incriminating for any agency who saw it and called these inoculations "safe."**

Table 1. General Overview: Selected Characteristics of All Cases Received During the Reporting Interval

Characteristics		Relevant cases (N=42086)
Gender:	Female	29914
	Male	9182
	No Data	2990
Age range (years): 0.01 -107 years Mean = 50.9 years n = 34952	≤ 17	175[a]
	18-30	4953
	31-50	13886
	51-64	7884
	65-74	3098
	≥ 75	5214
	Unknown	6876
Case outcome:	Recovered/Recovering	19582
	Recovered with sequelae	520
	Not recovered at the time of report	11361
	Fatal	1223
	Unknown	9400

a. in 46 cases reported age was <16-year-old and in 34 cases <12-year-old.

Figure 1. Total Number of BNT162b2 AEs by System Organ Classes and Event Seriousness



3.1.2. Summary of Safety Concerns in the US Pharmacovigilance Plan

Table 3. Safety concerns

Important identified risks	Anaphylaxis
Important potential risks	Vaccine-Associated Enhanced Disease (VAED), Including Vaccine-associated Enhanced Respiratory Disease (VAERD)
Missing information	Use in Pregnancy and lactation Use in Paediatric Individuals <12 Years of Age Vaccine Effectiveness

CONSIDERABLE EVIDENCE OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

PFIZER IS MAKING BILLIONS

$33.5B+ in 2021 alone.

When the incentive is such an astronomical sum of money, it only makes sense to **ensure rigorous oversight** of the process and to ensure **as many safeguards as possible** are in place.

Their agenda is **their shareholders and their bottom line**, not public health.

Forbes

Pfizer Expects $33.5 Billion In Vaccine Revenue In 2021

Albert Bourla, CEO of Pfizer, photographed in June 2020 JAMEL TOPPIN FOR FORBES

Biotech giant Pfizer expects to generate $33.5 billion in Covid-19 vaccine sales in 2021, up from previous estimates of $26 billion, according to its second quarter earnings reports. These projections are based on the 2.1 billion doses of the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine which the company expects to manufacture and deliver by the end of the year.

THE PUBLIC RECORD OF PFIZER'S CORPORATE CULTURE



LINKS TO THE PUBLIC RECORD OF PFIZER'S CORPORATE CULTURE

- **Pfizer Unit to Settle Charges Of Lying About Heart Valve, Jul 2, 1994** https://www.nytimes.com/1994/07/02/business/pfizer-unit-to-settle-charges-of-lying-about-heart-valve.html
- **Pfizer to Pay $430 Million Over Promoting Drug to Doctors, May 14, 2004** https://www.nytimes.com/2004/05/14/business/pfizer-to-pay-430-million-over-promoting-drug-to-doctors.html
- **$60 Million Deal In Pfizer Suit over Rezulin, July 3, 2004** https://www.nytimes.com/2004/07/03/business/60-million-deal-in-pfizer-suit.html
- **Experts Conclude Pfizer Manipulated Studies, Oct 8, 2008** https://www.nytimes.com/2008/10/08/health/research/08drug.html
- **Pfizer to Pay $2.3 Billion for Fraudulent Marketing, Sep 2, 2009** https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-announces-largest-health-care-fraud-settlement-its-history
- **Pfizer Admits Paying $35 Million to Doctors Over Last 6 Months, Apr 1, 2010** https://www.news-medical.net/news/20100401/Pfizer-admits-paying-2435-million-to-doctors-over-last-6-months.aspx
- **Pfizer Pays Out to Nigerian Families of Meningitis Drug Trial Victims, Aug 12, 2011** https://www.theguardian.com/world/2011/aug/11/pfizer-nigeria-meningitis-drug-compensation
- **Pfizer Pays US$60M to Settle Allegations of Bribing Doctors, Aug 7, 2012** https://www.ctvnews.ca/health/health-headlines/pfizer-pays-us-60m-to-settle-allegations-of-bribing-doctors-1.906216
- **SEC Charges Pfizer with FCPA Violations, Aug 7, 2012** https://www.sec.gov/news/press-release/2012-2012-152htm
- **US High Court Leaves Intact $ 142 million Verdict Against Pfizer, Dec 9, 2013** https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-court-pfizer-idUSBRE9B80K020131209
- **Pfizer Fined Record £84.2m for Overcharging NHS, Dec 7, 2016** https://www.bbc.com/news/business-38233852
- **Sonofi, FSK, Pfizer, Boehringer Must Face Zantac Class-Action Lawsuits: Court Oct 15, 2021** https://medicaldialogues.in/news/industry/pharma/sanofi-gsk-pfizer-boehringer-must-face-zantac-class-action-lawsuits-court-83138

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AMONG PFIZER REPORT AUTHORS



THE CDC HAS REDEFINED "VACCINE"
TO SUIT POLITICAL & PHARMACEUTICAL INTERESTS

For many years	Jul 27, 2021	Aug 18, 2021	Starting Sep 2, 2021
CDC Definition of VACCINE *"A product that stimulates a person's immune system* ***to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease."***	Head of CDC Rochelle Walensky went on CNN and admitted the COVID-19 vaccines do not provide immunity - they don't stop people from catching or transmitting COVID-19. 	Joe Biden announced booster shots for all Americans. 	**CDC Definition of VACCINE CHANGED** *"A preparation that is used* ***to stimulate the body's immune response against diseases."*** **This looks like fraud.**

THE MEDIA HAS BEEN CAPTURED

https://rumble.com/voz64j-brought-to-you-by-pfizer.html

THIS IS NO WAY TO MANAGE A SUPPLIER

Pfizer has been **indemnified for damages** in case their inoculations hurt and kill people, and Pfizer **profits to the tune of billions** if the trials are successful.

No reasonable, responsible person would have given Pfizer carte blanche in such a situation.

Instead, **you would engage in rigorous oversight** and **hold them to the highest scientific standards**. This was not done.

THE INOCULATIONS SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN IMMEDIATELY

- It's clear that Pfizer - and the agencies overseeing their trials - failed to follow established, high quality safety and efficacy protocols right from the beginning.
- We have presented **Level 1 evidence of harm from Pfizer's own trial data**. Any government which has approved these inoculations, much less mandated them, **knew or should have known from the available data that harm would be caused to its citizens.**
- Any government that approved this medical intervention for its citizens should have ensured that the trial had used the **appropriate clinical endpoints** and **high quality safety science**.
- **Any government official who possesses this evidence and continues to allow its citizens to be inoculated with a toxic agent is, at the very least, negligent.**

RECOMMENDED READING/VIEWING

PUBLISHED PAPERS REFUTING PFIZER INOCULATIONS

- Why Are We Vaccinating Children Against COVID-19? https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S221475002100161X
- US COVID-19 Vaccines Proven to Cause More Harm than Good Based on Pivotal Clinical Trial Data Analyzed Using the Proper Scientific Endpoint, "All Cause Severe Morbidity" https://www.scivisionpub.com/pdfs/us-covid19-vaccines-proven-to-cause-more-harm-than-good-based-on-pivotal-clinical-trial-data-analyzed-using-the-proper-scientific--1811.pdf

PFIZER'S NEJM PUBLISHED RESULTS

- Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2034577
- FDA Briefing Document, Dec 10, 2020 https://www.fda.gov/media/144245/download
- Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2110345
- The 6 Month Supplementary Appendix https://www.nejm.org/doi/suppl/10.1056/NEJMoa2110345/suppl_file/nejmoa2110345_appendix.pdf

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

- Covid-19: Researcher blows the whistle on data integrity issues in Pfizer's vaccine trial https://www.bmj.com/content/375/bmj.n2635

ONTARIO PUBLIC HEALTH EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SUMMARY

- Myocarditis and Pericarditis Following Vaccination with COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines in Ontario: December 13, 2020 to September 4, 2021 https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/epi/covid-19-myocarditis-pericarditis-vaccines-epi.pdf?sc_lang=en

SHORT VIDEOS

- Informed Consent - It's Your Right (3 minutes) https://rumble.com/vleq43-informed-consent-its-your-right.html
- Brought to You by Pfizer (1 minute) https://rumble.com/voz64j-brought-to-you-by-pfizer.html
- Why Do We Need Vaccine Passports? (2 minutes) https://rumble.com/vn1zof-why-do-we-need-vaccine-passports.html
- COVID- 19 Vaccines and D-Dimer levels (9 minutes) https://rumble.com/voeisj-dr-rochagn-kilian-blowing-the-whistle-on-covid-19-vaccines-and-d-dimer-leve.html
- How Reliable Is the PCR Test? (2 minutes) https://youtu.be/gL7Z5JmRIM4

WE NEED YOU TO HOLD THEM ACCOUNTABLE

- This evidence is a tool you can use. It represents a real opportunity to hold our leaders accountable as it is not opinion, or modelling, or real world evidence that can be dismissed or manipulated, but LEVEL 1 EVIDENCE from a randomized control trial. As such, it has high evidentiary value.
- We're asking that you call your MP and MPP and that you ask for a 1 hour meeting. Preferably in person, but Zoom will work too.
- During the meeting, play them the video and provide them with the PDF version. Ask them questions, like whether or not they were aware of all the issues with the Pfizer trial. Or what they plan to do now that they are. Get them to agree to a follow up meeting where they will provide you with answers.
- Share this video with friends and family. Have group viewing sessions on Zoom and discuss it.
- Share this video and the PDF on social media. When you do, please use the hashtags #CCCA and #MoreHarmThanGood
- Please join our mailing list at www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org and we will update you with additional evidence as we have it.
- Follow us on social media. This linktree has all our social accounts.
- This presentation is available in PDF and video format on our website at www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org

THE PFIZER INOCULATIONS FOR COVID-19

MORE HARM
THAN GOOD

Canadian Covid Care Alliance
Alliance canadienne pour la prévention
et prise-en-charge de la covid

Contact us
info@canadiancovidcarealliance.org
www.canadiancovidcarealliance.org