

03/22/22 • COVID › VIEWS

Pfizer COVID Vaccine for Kids 5 – 11 Fails FDA Standard for 50% Efficacy

Based on a small study, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention said Pfizer's COVID vaccine — after just under two months — was 31% effective at preventing "symptomatic and asymptomatic" COVID in 5- to 11-year-olds. But how effective was the vaccine at four or six months?

By Meryl Nass, M.D.

Miss a day, miss a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.

The [study](#) I am about to describe was published in the March 18 issue of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Review (MMWR).

Once upon a time, the MMWR appeared to be a high-quality publication. It included useful editorial comments and criticisms of the articles, but those have now disappeared.

However, the study referenced in the March 18 issue is based on data collected from a relatively small group of children — 1,052 children between the ages of 5 and 11 — from four states. Surprisingly, 76% of the children live in Arizona.

In this group, 65% of the children were fully vaccinated, 7% had had one dose and 29% of the children were unvaccinated.

The group is not representative of the entire U.S., in which just over 30% of children in this age group are vaccinated (and [by Feb. 14 only 22%](#) in Chicago).

The children are swabbed weekly for [COVID](#). There were a total of 381 COVID infections in this group: 137 in the fully vaccinated and 184 infections in the unvaccinated. Half the [Omicron](#) infections were asymptomatic.

It is unclear if CDC distinguished the variants by anything other than timing.

This leaves, per my calculation, 60 COVID cases in the group of children who received one dose of the vaccine — a group for which few data are presented.

There is no way to check CDC's calculations because each child had a unique number of days in which he or she was "enrolled" in the study, starting two weeks after their second dose.

But what CDC states is that the median duration of enrollment in the study for the vaccinated kids is 53 days, and for the unvaccinated kids, 41 days.

You would have thought the unvaccinated would have participated for a longer period, as they don't have to wait two weeks until after the second shot to join the ranks of the officially vaccinated.

I have no explanation for this.

BUY TODAY: Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.'s New Book

— 'The Real Anthony Fauci'

So how well did the vaccine work a little less than two months after the children were considered “fully vaccinated?”

The CDC said that after adjustments, the vaccine was 31% effective at preventing “symptomatic and asymptomatic” COVID in this age group — at under two months.

But how effective was the vaccine at four or six months? And how long until we are in negative efficacy territory?

What are the media going to look at as they try to get out a story quickly?

The final paragraph, of course. In fact, they probably are working off the [press release](#).

What does that final paragraph say?

“This study provides evidence that receipt of two doses of Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine is effective in preventing both asymptomatic and symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Omicron variant among children and adolescents aged 5–15 years. All eligible children and adolescents should remain up to date with recommended COVID-19 vaccinations.”

Now we understand why the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) had the vaccine makers vaccinate the placebo group at two months in all the COVID vaccine trials — the FDA had established a [50% efficacy standard](#) to issue an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), and the longer the trials went on, the lower the efficacy would be.

But now the FDA and CDC can't even get the 5- to 11-year-old efficacy above 31% in Arizona. And [in New York, at seven weeks, efficacy was 12%](#) in this age group.

This does not meet the EUA standard.

I wonder how they can possibly spin the benefits of vaccination for infants and children 6 months to 5 years old.

But I expect our federal health authorities will find a way.

Originally published on [Meryl Nass's COVID Newsletter Substack page](#).

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

SUGGEST A CORRECTION



Meryl Nass, M.D.

Meryl Nass, M.D., ABIM, is an internist with special interests in vaccine-induced illnesses, chronic fatigue syndrome, Gulf War illness, fibromyalgia and toxicology.

[Sign up](#) for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. CHD is planning many strategies, including legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already injured. Your [support](#) is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed. Please read our [Comment Policy](#) before commenting.



2 Comments

Children's Health Defense

Disqus' Privacy Policy

Login ▾

Favorite

Tweet

Share

Sort by Best ▾



Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS



Name




jprexena • an hour ago

Amazing how God works in mysterious ways and foils their evil wicked plans? Their poison depopulation shots aren't safe nor effective and don't meet the standards to be under EUA status. How New York observed 12% efficacy at seven weeks in? While it's at most 31% effective or some other # elsewhere in the long term? Bottom line the evil doers will make up some study in order to promote their poisons for more \$\$ and control. Anything that promotes depopulation are promoted to the nth degree. Sunlight is the best disinfectant.

Don't inject the children with these death shots and sacrifice them to the altar of Pharmakeia are no different than the altar of Moloch. Our bodies are our pharmacies as created by God.

^ | ▾ • Reply • Share ›



WaterGenie • 2 hours ago • edited

Instead of calling the "vaccine" gene therapy which is what it actually is, they called it a vaccine. They lowered the definition from preventing disease (full immunity) to reducing symptoms. The standard for Emergency Use Authorization is 50% efficacy but they could change the standard to a much lower percentage. Problem solved!

^ | ▾ • Reply • Share ›