

Dr Peter McCullough Sounds the Alarm — “Stunning Act of Scientific Censorship”

March 1, 2024 [Story source: Gateway Pundit](#)



For years, the medical establishment – with the help of big government, big media and big tech – have tried to bury concerns about the efficacy and safety of the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines. Now, facing an avalanche of evidence about how dangerous and ineffective these shots are, big pharma’s defenders are turning up efforts to censor their critics.

In a recent substack, Dr. Peter McCullough, blew the lid on what he called a “stunning

act of scientific censorship” after,

“a little known publication integrity staffer Tim Kersjes has retracted a manuscript authored by epidemiologist [M. Nathaniel Mead, MSc](#), after the paper drew global attention to the Springer Nature Cureus platform with record views/reads/downloads. The paper called for a halt in COVID-19 mass vaccination based on a valid evaluation of the evidence. It topped >330,000 views/reads/downloads in a month as compared to an average Cureus-promoted paper which has only ~2700 in a year.”

Dr. McCullough points out how absolutely unethical it is to retract a paper after its publication without adequate justification – something absolutely lacking in this retraction.

It is clear that the sole reason for the retraction of the paper, which was exhaustively peer-reviewed and meticulously cited, was that it called for a halt in COVID-19 mass vaccinations.

Big pharma will stop at nothing to protect their bottom lines, even if it means endangering the lives of millions of Americans.

What started with urging big tech to deplatform critics; morphed into using the power of the state to ban safe and effective early treatment protocols, like the use of Ivermectin paired with doxycycline or hydroxychloroquine paired with azithromycin; has now evolved to a patently unethical and unprecedented campaign of scientific censorship.

It is clear that the medical establishment is absolutely broken and cannot be trusted.