

03/22/24 • Updated 03/25/24 • COVID › NEWS

'Blood on Its Hands': FDA Will Remove Anti-ivermectin Social Media, Website Posts Under Lawsuit Settlement Agreement

The FDA, which denied any wrongdoing, said it will remove content warning people not to use ivermectin to treat COVID-19 within 21 days. Plaintiffs called the settlement a big win for patients and the patient-physician relationship.

By Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.*

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) agreed to take down its website and social media posts warning people not to use ivermectin to treat COVID-19 under terms of a settlement reached Thursday in a lawsuit alleging the agency exceeded its authority when it directed health professionals and patients not to use the drug.

Within 21 days, the agency will remove the consumer update, "Why You Should Not Use Ivermectin to Treat or Prevent COVID-19," which pictures a doctor and a horse. The FDA posted the update on March 5, 2021.

The FDA webpage, still live, states repeatedly that the FDA has not authorized or approved ivermectin for treating COVID-19 and warns the drug can be "unsafe." The page also includes language warning people not to use ivermectin "intended for livestock."

The FDA will also delete social media posts from Twitter, LinkedIn, Facebook and Instagram posted in 2021 and 2022 with messages such as "You are not a horse. You are not a cow. Seriously, y'all. Stop it."



The posts suggest ivermectin is not “authorized” for treating COVID-19. The agency also will remove all posts that link to the webpage about the drug.

It has already taken down its Frequently Asked Questions page about ivermectin.

In exchange for removing the internet content, plaintiffs in the lawsuit — Dr. Mary Talley Bowden, Dr. Paul Marik and Dr. Robert Apter — will dismiss their claims against the FDA.

“This is a landmark case and one of the most important wins in the whole COVID era,” said Marik, chief scientific officer of the Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance (FLCCC) and former chief of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine at Eastern Virginia Medical School.

Marik told The Defender:


“It goes beyond ivermectin. It goes to the authority of the FDA, what they can and they can’t do. It’s really about the patient-physician relationship, doctors being allowed to be doctors and prescribe medicine. And so hopefully going forward this will limit the interference by the regulatory agencies to control medicine.”

Bowden, an otolaryngologist with 25 years of experience, tweeted this:

Mary Talley Bowden MD 



@MdBreathe · [Follow](#)

 **BREAKING:**

FDA loses its war on ivermectin and agrees to remove all social media posts and consumer directives regarding ivermectin and COVID, including its most popular tweet in FDA history.

This landmark case sets an important precedent in limiting FDA overreach into the... [Show more](#)



11:58 PM · Mar 21, 2024



 53K



See the latest COVID-19 information on X

[Read 2.5K replies](#)

Apter also celebrated the news. "This victory in Apter et al v. HHS is wonderful news and one more step towards putting the government back in its place from its COVID-era


overreach," he told The Defender.

"While this resolution is long in coming — the case was filed almost two years ago — it is one more building block in the edifice to stop future encroachments on the doctor-patient relationship, free expression and the FDA's unlawful practice of medicine," he added.

An FDA spokesperson told The Epoch Times in an email that the agency "has chosen to resolve this lawsuit rather than continuing to litigate over statements that are between two and nearly four years old."

"FDA has not admitted any violation of law or any wrongdoing, disagrees with the plaintiffs' allegation that the agency exceeded its authority in issuing the statements challenged in the lawsuit, and stands by its authority to communicate with the public regarding the products it regulates," the spokesperson said.

"FDA has not changed its position that currently available clinical trial data do not demonstrate that ivermectin is effective against COVID-19. The agency has not authorized or approved ivermectin for use in preventing or treating COVID-19."



“The Wuhan Cover-Up” by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

ORDER NOW

‘FDA has blood on its hands’

Ivermectin is an effective antiparasitic drug that’s been given to billions of people worldwide. It was discovered in the 1970s by Japanese microbiologist Satoshi Ōmura, Ph.D., and American parasitic biologist William C. Campbell, Ph.D., who won the 2015 Nobel Prize in Physiology for their discovery.

The FDA approved the drug in 1996 to treat several tropical diseases, including onchocerciasis, helminthiasis and scabies.

After many doctors across the country began prescribing ivermectin for COVID-19 early in the pandemic, the FDA launched a campaign against the drug, despite numerous studies — including studies posted on its own website — showing ivermectin could be effective as an early intervention against COVID-19, and the drug’s strong safety profile.

The drug was also administered widely in several countries, including India, Mexico and Argentina.

“The FDA demonized ivermectin, which is a highly effective drug for the early treatment of COVID. The consequences of this, and what has to be clear is that this led directly to the death of millions of people,” Marik said. “So the FDA has blood on its hands.”

Marik said if the drug had been widely used early in the course of the pandemic, it would have significantly reduced the risk of death. Instead, the FDA interfered with the practice of medicine, demonizing the drug, which led to doctors being prohibited from using it and pharmacists being prohibited from dispensing it.

In the U.S., it's common and legal for doctors to prescribe drugs off-label, or for a different purpose than it is approved for. Marik said 40-60% of medications are used off-label.

"It's standard of care," he said, which is the benchmark that determines whether professional obligations to fully and effectively care for patients have been met.

"The FDA was actually caught out in a big lie," he said, because the agency allows and promotes the use of off-label drugs "as long as it doesn't interfere with their EUA [emergency use authorization]."

Drugs that were granted emergency use authorization for use during the COVID-19 pandemic, including Remdesivir, Paxlovid and the vaccines, were authorized on the basis that no other effective treatments were available.

Dr. Pierre Kory was one of the first doctors to make a public case for the effectiveness of ivermectin as an early intervention against COVID-19. He is co-author of a metanalysis concluding the drug is effective against the illness and author of "The War on Ivermectin: the Medicine that Saved Millions and Could Have Ended the Pandemic."

In a statement, Kory said, "I couldn't be prouder of Paul and our colleagues for taking a stand for all of us against a government health agency that overstepped its authority."

"The FDA knew exactly what it was doing when it tweeted that ivermectin was for horses and that people should 'stop it.' I hope this case will serve as precedent the next time a federal health agency steps out of its authority and tries to practice medicine," he added.

Earlier this week, Kory addressed the issue of censoring doctors who promoted ivermectin to treat COVID-19 patients in a speech he gave during a rally, hosted by Children's Health Defense, outside the U.S. Supreme Court.

Pierre Kory, M.D. Speaks at The Rally to Reclaim Free Speech



The lawsuit

In their lawsuit, Drs. Bowden, Marik and Apter alleged the FDA acted outside of its authority by directing the public, including health professionals and patients, not to use ivermectin — even though the drug is fully approved by the FDA for human use.

They alleged the FDA was carrying out a “crusade” against ivermectin as a treatment for COVID-19 that “unlawfully interfered” with the doctors’ ability to practice medicine.

The suit, filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division, also named the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), HHS Secretary Xavier Becerra and Dr. Robert Califf, acting FDA commissioner.

The complaint alleged the FDA cannot ban off-label use of particular drugs or advise whether patients should take approved drugs. Doing so, they said, is intervening in the doctor-patient relationship.

The plaintiffs said their lawsuit wasn’t about whether ivermectin is an effective treatment for COVID-19. It was about who determines the appropriate treatment for each unique patient and whether the FDA can interfere with that process.

U.S. District Judge Jeffrey Brown dismissed the case in 2022, ruling the FDA has “sovereign immunity” and granting it protection against most civil lawsuits. Brown also said the plaintiffs had not proven they were directly harmed by any FDA action.

But the 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in New Orleans overturned the dismissal in September 2023, ruling the agency did exceed its authority under federal law.

According to the ruling, the agency is “not a physician” and “FDA can inform, but has identified no authority allowing it to recommend consumers ‘stop’ taking medicine.”

The court's finding that the FDA exceeded its regulatory authority, Marik said, "is a major win because it's saying that the FDA can approve drugs, but they can't interfere with the patient-physician relationship."

"They can't determine ... what drugs physicians can and cannot prescribe," he added.

Marik said Thursday's settlement that followed this appellate court ruling was also important because in addition to the tweets, the FDA had communicated with state medical boards about ivermectin — and as a consequence, many doctors had lost their licenses for prescribing the drug.

"Patients didn't get treatment and doctors lost their licenses ... and pharmacies didn't dispense ivermectin because of the FDA," he added.

Boyden, Gray & Associates, a Washington, D.C.-based law firm, represented the plaintiffs. "We are eternally grateful for the work done by Boyden Gray," Marick said. "Without their exceptional work, this result would never have happened."

This article has been updated to correct Dr. Mary Talley Bowden's medical specialty and experience.

SUGGEST A CORRECTION



Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Brenda Baletti Ph.D. is a reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about capitalism and politics for 10 years in the writing program at Duke University. She holds a Ph.D. in human geography from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a master's from the University of Texas at Austin.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our [Comment Policy](#) before commenting.

Got it

84 Comments

1 Login ▾

G

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

4 Share

Best Newest Oldest



CreoleGumbo

3 days ago

Simply removing these disparaging posts is not enough to address the harm done. They need to post statements that they had no business bad mouthing the drug and they need to devote as much time to those posts as they gave their own original ones. What good will it do to just retract the lie that they told?

37 0 Reply

D

Don2665

→ CreoleGumbo

3 days ago

Totally agree with you, but I'm not holding my breath until the FDA does that. You have to remember that those who work in government and for governmental agencies are far superior in intellect than the rubes who don't and therefore know what's best.

It's past time to evaluate all three letter agencies to determine how to properly reform or eliminate them.

I am not, nor will I ever be for a powerful, centralized government.

It's past time for meaningful change.

18 0 Reply

C

CastleConnell

→ CreoleGumbo

3 days ago

3 days ago

Yes, the propaganda needs to be antidoted by them and legally enforced. Because insinuating that Ivermectin is only for horses and cows is misinformation that harmed millions of people.

15 0 Reply ↗

S

Someone → CreoleGumbo

2 days ago

Also not holding my breath when they never have regarding drugs they approved and were later pulled from the market for the harms done. My bigger concern is that there is nothing in place to prevent the FDA from trying to do this again when some other perfectly safe drug risks the bottom line for getting an EUA.

10 0 Reply ↗



Laura Pieters

3 days ago

A good start but not enough. They have admitted no wrongdoing, yet people died because of what they did!

32 0 Reply ↗



Danlmosher → Laura Pieters

2 days ago

I am bitter over this and believe as you do. Our government is against us. My company tried to fire me and other lost jobs and many lost their lives. This forever changed my opinion of our government. I lost people that would have lived had they been given ivermectin.

13 0 Reply ↗

B

Beatrice → Danlmosher

19 hours ago

I'm bitter too because of what happened to you and so many wonderful doctors and nurses who lost their jobs and in many cases, their licenses. I just don't see how this ruling will protect anyone from the same in the future.

2 0 Reply ↗

B

Beatrice → Laura Pieters

3 days ago

Wish I could jump for joy over this but it's a little too late and what will happen next time they need to impose an EUA? This lawsuit is too specific for Ivermectin and in my opinion really isn't a win at all. Maybe I'm missing other important legal details???

9 0 Reply

C

CastleConnell → Beatrice
3 days ago

EUA was not needed, it was to benefit Pharma sales of shots.

12 0 Reply



brbg → CastleConnell
2 days ago

And shots that also harmed hundreds of thousands of Americans, not counting worldwide carnage.

9 0 Reply



Owl → brbg
2 days ago

Again... Therein lies the rub!

3 0 Reply

B

Beatrice → CastleConnell
2 days ago

The alternative effective treatments like Ivermectin were dismissed and became dangerous and 'illegal' once the EUA was established. So what I mean is that when the next plandemic rolls around, what is stopping the elites from imposing these same restrictions?

7 0 Reply



Owl → Beatrice
2 days ago

True. This court case has no legal teeth to prevent government overreach again.

5 0 Reply

B

Beatrice → Owl
2 days ago

That's the way I interpreted this but thought maybe I was missing something. I guess you see it the same way.

2 0 Reply

G

GarySummers → Owl
16 hours ago edited

Hopefully it will help Dr M.Ness in her fight against the Maine Board of Medical Oversight? Going after her because she Prescribed Ivermectin.

0 0 Reply



Owl → CastleConnell

2 days ago

Therein lies the rub!

2 0 Reply

G

GarySummers → Laura Pieters

16 hours ago

Hopefully Legions of Lawsuits seeking Punative Damages for All those that made the decisions against Ivermectin.

0 0 Reply

A

Ann Marie

3 days ago edited

If someone is caught lying, if we ask them to destroy the evidence that they lied, that only helps them. What would have been better is if they had been forced to write in big red letters across the post. It could've said "This has been redacted as an illegal post. We are not doctors licensed to practice medicine. Talk with your doctor about your medical choices." Or it could simply say, "We lied."

20 0 Reply

S

Stay Awake Neo → Ann Marie

2 days ago

BRILLIANT!!

6 0 Reply

S

seahawk

3 days ago

Something is either true or it is false. Those who are guilty of intentionally saying false things are called liars. Do you trust liars? Don't care what the FDA, CDC, NIH, and especially the WHO have to say about anything. If their mouths are moving they are lying. Future guidelines, rules, mandates, etc. made by said liars , will be ignored. They can all suck eggs.

20 0 Reply

I

Isthistingon

3 days ago

I admit I didn't read this entire article so mavbe this was mentioned. but nonetheless. mv

... understanding is the FDA had to come Balls-out on being against Ivermectin, as well as hydroxychloroquine, Vit D, NAC, and all the other Treatments for One's Health, because there Couldn't Be An Existing Remedy or else the Emergency Use Authorization for their Bioweapon Nanobot Stabs Couldn't Be Authorized.

19 0 Reply

S

Swananon

3 days ago edited

My loved one died of "COVID" 5 days after the infamous FDA "You are not a horse" anti-Ivermectin post. A few days after their post, we obtained a prescription for Ivermectin and the pharmacy was extremely stingy with it and reluctant to dispense it. The doctor prescribed 60 of the 3mg pills and they only dispensed 20, which at the updated FLCCC dosage of 0.6 mg/kg for "Delta" would have only been enough for one full dose. They did not inform us that it was only filled partially, and on top of that they also did not give us one of the other prescriptions and did not tell us, and when my brother got home and the prescription was not there I called the Walmart pharmacy and they said they did not give it to us because insurance wouldn't cover it. They did not even give us the option to pay for it out of pocket. I told them that my loved one is very ill and money was not an object. My belief is they were part of the conspiracy to kill people in this catastrophe, because that is absolutely unacceptable to not let someone know you did not fill their prescription because it wasn't covered by insurance.

Tragically, we were not aware at that time of the updated dosage recommendation of the FLCCC and the doctor only prescribed 0.2 mg/kg, so she also was unaware of the need for triple that amount. We had the Equine version on hand but weren't sure if it was safe or how to dose it properly and apparently I did not use enough...I only used 0.2 and then 0.3mg/kg, so it did not work. By the time you know it's not enough, it's too late. The obfuscation by the FDA and others, along with the censorship and mis/disinformation everywhere and the horror of the hospital protocols cost my loved one her life. Just removing their offending posts is clearly insufficient. They (and many others) have blood on their hands.

19 0 Reply



BarbaraCharis

3 days ago

The FDA is resoonsible for multi-millions of injuries and deaths by permitting deadly products in the marketplace. Then it suppresses the truth to please drug patent holders.. Its a matter of too little...too late... coming out now with its 'permitting' Ivermectin in the marketplace withtout condemning it anymore. There is no need to support an agency that has operated in this manner...It was a costly mistake thinking ithe FDA would really protect Americans. it mst be eliminated.

14 0 Reply



brbg

2 days ago

BarbaraCharis



2 days ago

Not to mention the pipeline between Pharmaceutical companies and the FDA where FDA executives are former Big Pharma employees.

8 0 Reply

E

ello brbg

15 hours ago

so far, endlessly

0 0 Reply



Allen

3 days ago

Operation COVID is all part of a meticulous criminal plan to create the greatest mass illusion, the greatest plundering of taxpayer funds, the greatest social destruction, the greatest restrictions of freedom, the greatest mass medical homicide, censoring etc etc in the history of the world.

The evidence clearly indicates a harsh and uncomfortable reality – there was no pandemic.

We have not been and are not facing what some call “a mismanaged pandemic,” a stance supported by most “health freedom” celebrities. What we are dealing with is fraud, tyranny and mass murder.

Large doses of Midazolam, Propofol. Fentanyl and Morphine cocktails were given to people in hospitals to create the illusion of the first wave of the hoax pandemic along with It was massive overuse of a treatment (ventilation) known to be extremely harmful. They didn't have the bodies in 2009- they got them this time not to mention data fraud.

There was no pandemic ever- there is no “lab leak”- there is no “unique viral pathogen”- there is no “China Virus”- there is no “bioweapon”- There is no “There” there.

It was an epidemic of violent government and medical assault against people, of false attribution of death, and of intense propaganda using fraudulent tests and bogus studies.

The official narrative of “Covid” is fictional- all facets of it.

14 0 Reply



Owl Allen

2 days ago

Some have even called it “ Covert biological warfare.”

4 0 Reply



Jerry Alatalo Allen

2 days ago

Hello Allen,


In his April 7, 2020 appearance on "Guns and Butter", Larry Romanoff, in my humble opinion, was the person offering the earliest, most accurate analysis of the (ongoing) COVID/mRNA bioweapons crime spree nightmare. Romanoff's analysis fully aligns with your comment.

The term which is sadly too often neglected and/or omitted from debates and discussions of the so-called "COVID-19 Pandemic" is this:

"Covert biological warfare"...

Here is the recording and transcript of Guns and Butter (4/7/2020) with Larry Romanoff, for Defender readers worldwide who likely have a very, very strong interest:

<https://www.bluemoonofshang...>

3 0 Reply 



Allen → Jerry Alatalo

a day ago

Thanks for that:

"Covid-19" has never been about an epidemiological emergency it is about providing cover for the collapse of the financial systems of the West and installing a biosecurity apparatus to get ahead of the massive social unrest. There are other elements to the operation but I'll leave it there.

The "Covid19 response" was designed for:

- 1) Redistribution of wealth aka THEFT (from the poor and middle class to the insanely rich;
- 2) Fear-induced digital enslavement- The Great Reset/4th IR;
- 3) Pharma investors making billions in profit and introduction of mRNA gravy train;
- 4) Constant bailouts for the financial sector- trillions of \$\$\$;
- 5) Entrenchment of the Bio-Security State;
- 6) Creation of permanent "pandemic preparedness industry";
- 7) Normalization of de facto martial law.

[see more](#)

3 0 Reply 



njm121

3 days ago

The line should have been "Serious y'all I am not a guinea pig Take your covid shot and shove it !"

10 0 Reply

S [Stay Awake Neo](#) njm121
2 days ago

Love this! LMAO

3 0 Reply

M [mcwinaz](#)
3 days ago

I agree w/other posters. Seems like a hollow victory. The FDA didn't want any more attention being drawn to their idiocy, so they settled, and admitted to NO WRONGDOING. I realize that from a \$\$ standpoint it probably made sense to just settle, but make no mistake, this corrupt agency will do it again.

FDA - you are not a legitimate health agency. You are not capable of telling the truth. Seriously, y'all. STOP IT..

9 0 Reply

E [ello](#) mcwinaz
2 days ago edited

defund them is more like it

4 0 Reply

[Ted Kuntz](#)
3 days ago

Yes, the FDA has blood on their hands. They ought to be criminally charged for every death that could have been prevented were it not for their dishonest and deceptive messaging. Every individual who participated in this effort to deny the public access to Ivermectin ought to go to jail for the rest of their lives. The time for forgiveness is over.

8 0 Reply

[CAWS](#)
2 days ago

Be ready to reinstate this lawsuit Doctors. The FDA was ordered by the courts to put up warnings about amalgam fillings [mercury] decades ago being dangerous for pregnant women & children [guess the rest of us don't count] and they put one sentence buried where nobody would see it and continued to promote amalgams in their main dental pages as this is all that is offered to those on Medicaid or in the military. Also the head of FDA dental at the time had major stock in the amalgam industry which she promised to sell off and never did.

So while the FDA may no longer bad mouth Ivermectin or prevent pharmacists & doctors from providing it; the damage has been done . Plus they will still be saying that Remdesiver,

Covid "vaccines" , ventilators, masks, and PCR tests are the only answers. ALL are STILL EUA which is illegal and ineffective.

7 0 Reply 

S

Stay Awake Neo

— 

2 days ago

Covid and all seasonal viral testing still at 40-45 Cycle Threshold here in NoCal so all these epidemic "cases" are wildly inflated. Nothing but lies and more lies. Exit the Matrix and fire your doctors.

7 0 Reply 



Galadriel

— 

3 days ago

In March of 2022 I ordered 300 pills of Ivermectin from [BuyIvermectin24.com](https://buylivermectin24.com) in India. When my shipment arrived in Jamaica, New York, the FDA got wind of it, confiscated my shipment and wrote me a letter stating they were holding it. Shortly thereafter, I received another letter informing me my shipment was destroyed ((though I do not believe it). The Indian people, to whom I forwarded both letters from the FDA, immediately refunded my money. A few days later they notified me that if I was still interested they could try to send the medication through Singapore. I accepted, sent another payment and three weeks later received my Ivermectin! Did the FDA have legal right to confiscating my shipment?

7 0 Reply 



abrogard

— 

3 days ago edited

Yep well that's great but they pulled up short of the finishing line didn't they? It is deal struck. Of great interest would be why? Why did 'our side' believe they had to strike a bargain instead of going all the way? Was 'all the way' too ill defined? Or seen as impossible to reach? What? Ivermectin should be recommended as a treatment for Covid by the FDA.

Ivermectin IS recommended by doctors who treat successfully, the evidence is massive, Dr Kory tells us, to why not force the FDA to admit they should recommend it? What caused the deal to be struck?

Seems to me they've effectively blamed their advertising department. So the adverts were wrong... but our message is the same...

But yep, okay, it is a massive and first step forward. Thank god for that.

Covid worries me, too. I am not certain after millions of words if it even exists as a real threat or not.

Is there a final decision on that?

Prof Ioannidis established very early that it was not a terrible scourge.

Dr Kory's team, pressing this other facet: the treatment, actually seem to emphasise that it IS/ was a terrible scourge.

The Vit D deficiencies, the co-morbidities in the elderly, the lethal treatments handed out, the widespread misdiagnosis, they all obscure the issue.

What is the opinion of the sensible today?

I have paid attention for years. Collected hundreds of URLs to sites, YT vids, etc. of interest.

I'd like to bring it up to date: <https://covidhonesty.com/>

7 0 Reply

S

Stay Awake Neo abrogard

2 days ago

Yep you are correct to suspect inflation and PR spin.

3 0 Reply

N

notaluvvie

3 days ago edited

It's about time but it doesn't go far enough, ie an admission by the corrupt CDC and FDA they had lied about Ivermectin. But if you are ever intellectually accosted by a moron demeaning Ivermectin just ask it if it had been filled with experimental drugs and if so why was it taking such products made by herbicide and insecticide manufacturers. Is it a weed or insect?

7 0 Reply



Mark J McGinty

2 days ago

The fact that the press will ignore this ruling makes it rather tepid. The problem is that taking down FDA propaganda doesn't help all of those that were exposed to it, to unsee it. Lies told by a source that is widely believed to be credible and authoritative persist in people's minds, and when they try to reference those lies but can no longer re-find them, they will simply rest on their recollection.

Articles on web sites "disappear" regularly and not being able to find them is frustrating, but is not a positive indication that the information was refuted! They should've been ordered to correct the article, and affirm that it originally contained glaring falsehoods.

Of course the MSM will give this ruling zero coverage, few will hear of it, and most of them already knew the FDA was spewing BS at the behest of the pharmas that direct it. As RFK Jr has said many times, it is a captive agency -- and that's what really needs to change.

6 0 Reply

E

ello Mark J McGinty

15 hours ago

so true

0 0 Reply

P

Paul Hastilow

2 days ago

I'm very glad at the outcome ...! Just Disappointed that FDA still has Far too much "Protection" and "Amnesty" for their Wrong doings...!

Their Ability to over ride Decisions, that have Recently been made, by (of all people) Manufacturers (Big pHarma) of Drugs, that have "Triggered" a warning sign, with Adverse effects in patients , sufficiently, to be "Pulled" from the shelves in pharmacies.....? Also Scientific testing for efficacy has wrung the Alarm....? On Certain Drugs....!

But Guess What....? .The Brains Trust At FDA have "Still Approved them"....!

This is Tantamount to "Premeditated Murder"!

I kid you Not... Their maleficence Knows No Bounds....? Dr Joseph Mengeler is still Alive and Kicking...?

6 0 Reply



Brad O'Donnell

3 days ago

Dr. Marik said, "is a major win because it's saying that the FDA can approve drugs, but they can't interfere with the patient-physician relationship." So now FDA takes down its ads that ivermectin is for horses and cows??? Love Marik and Kory, but 'winning' now after the Pandemic is over and 7.5 million DIED NEEDLESSLY, is TOO LITTLE, TOO LATE! Ask the families of the masses who lost loved ones...could have been saved by Hydroxy, Ivermecticin, etc. Fauci, Collins, Baric, Dazack and all their tyrannical medical ilk deserve lethal injections.

6 0 Reply



drw22

3 days ago

Since the FDA has admitted that it shouldn't have prescribed or not prescribed ivermectin, does that mean that doctors who were fired for using it should be reinstated?

6 0 Reply

E

ello

→ drw22

14 hours ago

they should start a class action lawsuit

0 0 Reply



clayusmcret

2 days ago

They had to kill Ivermectin and HCQ because their EAU for the clotshots was illegal otherwise. Without killing those two, billions of dollars in profit were at risk.

5 0 Reply

E

ello [→ clayusmcret](#)

15 hours ago

and how

0 0 Reply [↗](#)



brbg

2 days ago

The FDA should now be investigated for collusion with Big pharma and financial ties as well.

5 0 Reply [↗](#)



E

Elwood Bernat

2 days ago

The truth is the entire Covid saga thus far has no answer, it's all surreal, unbelievable, and most would rather deny conspiracy theories no matter how often they happen, or come true, same as the boosters.

We now live in near total denial, where truth has become to frightening. In this dystopian world, where everything is inverted the average citizen is replaced by illegals who have squatted their rights, gender is a dirty word and everyone is what they choose to be. Daily rations of the unbelievable until it becomes normalized.

Good luck with that America, it was nice knowing ya.

5 0 Reply [↗](#)



[Load more comments](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Privacy](#)

[Do Not Sell My Data](#)